



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/087,627      | 03/01/2002  | Vincent Formale      | RSW920020013 US1    | 3717             |

46270 7590 04/18/2008  
IBM CORPORATION (SYL-RSW)  
C/O SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP  
1101 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2600  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

THEIN, MARIA TERESA T

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3627

|           |               |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

04/18/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |                                       |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/087,627 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>FORMALE ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>MARISSA THEIN     | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3627               |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARISSA THEIN. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Theodore Naccarella. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner discussed some language that could be incorporated into the claims. Examiner suggested reciting the environment of the invention, and reciting a specific predetermined date that is used in averaging the value of a model and other specifics used in averaging the value of a model.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael Cuff/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3627

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required